LODGED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DEC 0 7 2005

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

	Defendant.	<i>)</i> ) MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY ) HEARING ON DISPUTED ISSUES
GABRIEL CLARK-AIGNER,		) )
vs.		)
-	Plaintiff,	) A02-0007-CR (JWS)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		)

Excludable delay under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h), delay attributable to filing by defendant, may occur as a result of the filing or granting of the present filing.

Gabriel Clark-Aigner moves the court to hold an evidentiary hearing on the disputed issues presented in his petition under 28 U.S.C. 2255. In essence Mr. Aigner contends that his former counsel, Matthew Claman, proved ineffective by reason of failing to adequately communicate with and/or explain to Mr. Aigner the implications, in terms of sentencing, of his proceeding to trial versus negotiating a Rule 11 plea agreement. In addition, Mr. Aigner claims that Mr. Claman did not adequately communicate with him concerning the actual or probable risk that he would receive a sentence in excess of 10 years imprisonment.

Undersigned counsel contacted Mr. Claman and has been in communication with Mr. Aigner. Mr. Claman's recollection is that he and Mr. Aigner enjoyed adequate attorney-client communications and that Mr. Aigner sufficiently understood his Rule 11 agreement and its implications.

851 E.Westpoint Drive Suite 201 Wasilla, Alaska 99654 (907) 376-8076 www.oloskoisnest FAX (907) 376-8078

United States v. Clark-Aigner A02-0007-CR (JWS) Motion For Evidentiary Hearing Page 1 of 3 Mr. Aigner does not agree. There does not appear to be any satisfactory way of resolving their differences short of holding an evidentiary hearing, taking testimony and allowing the trier of fact (in this instance, the .court itself) to weigh the relevant evidence and make a decision.

In sum, Mr. Aigner's petition sufficiently sets forth his contentions that he did not receive effective assistance of counsel. It appears that an evidentiary hearing is necessary to resolve the disputed issues. An Affidavit of Counsel and proposed Order are attached.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this \_5th day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2005 at Anchorage, Alaska.

Sterling & DeArmond, P.C. Counsel for Defendant Gabriel Clark-Aigner

By:\_\_\_\_\_\_Scott A. Sterling

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served by (U.S. Mail, first class, postage-prepaid)(delivery) (ax), on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2005 upon:

AUSA Kevin Feldis Federal Bldg. & U.S. Courthouse 222 West 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue, #9 Room 253 Anchorage, Alaska 99513-7567 Fax: (907) 271-3224

Counsel for Plaintiff United States of America

Ву:\_\_\_\_\_



<u>United States v. Clark-Aigner</u> A02-0007-CR (JWS) Motion For Evidentiary Hearing Page 3 of 3